	Case 1:22-cv-01429-JLT-CDB Documer	nt 22 Filed 12/11/23 Page 1 of 3	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JIM CAGE,	Case No.: 1:22-cv-01429-JLT-CDB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST- SCREENING ADR AND STAYING CASE	
13	v.	FOR 90 DAYS	
1 4		FORTY-FIVE (45) DAY DEADLINE	
14	A. JOHNSON, et al.,	FORTI-FIVE (45) DAT DEADLINE	
14 15	A. JOHNSON, et al., Defendants.	FORTI-FIVE (45) DAT DEADLINE	
	Defendants.		
15	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro se	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
15 16	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro se pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
15 16 17	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro se pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R.		
15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro se pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.)	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro se pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for the court refers all civil rights cases for the court refers all civil rights.	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc.	
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro se pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for Resolution ("ADR") to attempt to resolve such	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. filed by pro se inmates to Alternative Dispute th cases more expeditiously and less expensively.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro set pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for Resolution ("ADR") to attempt to resolve such the Court stays this action for ninety of the Court stays the Court stay	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. filed by pro se inmates to Alternative Dispute th cases more expeditiously and less expensively. days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's	
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding prose pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for Resolution ("ADR") to attempt to resolve such the Court stays this action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer, and participate in an experience of the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer the court stays that action for ninety of claims, meet and confer the court stays that action for ninety of claims.	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. filed by pro se inmates to Alternative Dispute th cases more expeditiously and less expensively. days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's early settlement conference. The Court presumes	
115 116 117 118 119 220 21 22 22	Defendants. Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding prose pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for Resolution ("ADR") to attempt to resolve such that all post-screening civil rights cases assign that all post-screening civil rights cases assign.	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. filed by pro se inmates to Alternative Dispute th cases more expeditiously and less expensively. days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's early settlement conference. The Court presumes ned to the undersigned will proceed to a settlement	
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro set pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for Resolution ("ADR") to attempt to resolve such that all post-screening civil rights cases assign conference. However, if, after investigating Planta and Planta a	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. filed by pro se inmates to Alternative Dispute th cases more expeditiously and less expensively. days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's early settlement conference. The Court presumes ned to the undersigned will proceed to a settlement laintiff's claims and meeting and conferring, either	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff Jim Cage is proceeding pro set pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 8, 2023, Defendant J. R. 21.) The Court refers all civil rights cases for Resolution ("ADR") to attempt to resolve such that all post-screening civil rights cases assign conference. However, if, after investigating Planta and Planta a	e and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action ojas filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (Doc. filed by pro se inmates to Alternative Dispute th cases more expeditiously and less expensively. days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's early settlement conference. The Court presumes ned to the undersigned will proceed to a settlement	

Case 1:22-cv-01429-JLT-CDB Document 22 Filed 12/11/23 Page 2 of 3

1 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED: 2 1. This action is STAYED for **ninety (90) days** to allow the parties an opportunity to 3 settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. No pleadings or motions may 4 be filed in this case during the stay. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, 5 but they may engage in informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference. 6 2. Within 45 days from the date of this Order, the parties SHALL file the attached 7 notice, indicating their agreement to proceed to an early settlement conference or their 8 belief that settlement is not achievable at this time. 9 3. Within 60 days from the date of this Order, the assigned Deputy Attorney General 10 SHALL contact the undersigned's Courtroom Deputy Clerk at shall@caed.uscourts.gov 11 to schedule the settlement conference, assuming the parties agree to participate in an 12 early settlement conference. 13 4. If the parties reach a settlement during the stay of this action, they SHALL file a 14 Notice of Settlement as required by Local Rule 160. 15 5. The Clerk of the Court SHALL serve via email copies of Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. 16 1), the Order Adopting Findings and Recommendations (following screening) (Doc. 17 14), and this Order to Supervising Deputy Attorney General Lawrence Bragg 18 (Lawrence.Bragg@doj.ca.gov), and a copy of this Order to ADR Coordinator Sujean 19 Park. 20 6. The parties are obligated to keep the Court informed of their current addresses during 21 the stay and the pendency of this action. Changes of address must be reported 22 promptly in a Notice of Change of Address. See L.R. 182(f). 23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2425

Dated: **December 11, 2023**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26

27

28

	Case 1:22-cv-01429-JLT-CDB Document 2	2 Filed 12/11/23 Page 3 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JIM CAGE,	Case No. 1:22-cv-01429-JLT-CDB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE REGARDING EARLY
13	v.	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
14	A. JOHNSON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	1. The party or counsel agrees that an early settlement conference would be productive and	
18	wishes to engage in an early settlement conference.	
19	Yes No	
20		
21	2. Plaintiff (check one):	
22	would like to participate in the settlement conference in person.	
23	would like to participate in the settlement conference by telephone or video	
24	conference.	
25		
26	Dated:	
27		Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendant
28		rammin of Counsel for Detendant
	1	